

**IV. REMARKS****Status of the Claims**

Claims 1 is amended. Claims 1-22 are presented for further consideration.

**Summary of the Office Action**

Claims 1-8, stand rejected under 35USC102(e) on the basis of the cited reference Miloslavsky, U.S. Patent No. 6,418,146. Claims 9-22 are allowed. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider his rejection in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

**The Invention**

Applicant has amended claims 1-8 to include the limitation that the apparatus is enabled to make a method call to a data server to establish a connection in order to support the arguments previously presented to differentiate over the cited art. This is in response to paragraph 4 of the Examiner's response to Applicant's arguments and is believed to place the subject matter of claims 1-8 allowable.

As previously indicated, Miloslavsky describes only a server (WAP-ST), which can initiate a voice call to a desired number on behalf of a WAP device. The call can be initiated as a normal call (COST) or as a call made through Internet. This reference does not describe data exchange between a mobile terminal and the end service. The so called "Intelligent routing decisions" cited by the Examiner do not use method calls and do not correspond to the invention, as described in the claims of this application.

The disclosure of Miloslavsky describes "voice calls", while in this application "method calls" are used. The method calls of the system of this application have nothing to do with voice calls. The system of Miloslavsky is not related to method calls, or service requests inside method calls. Therefore, it does not support the rejection of claims 1-8 based on anticipation.

Applicant has amended the claims to clarify the novel features of the invention for which protection is sought in this application. As indicated above, these amendments are submitted after final rejection in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. The Examiner is respectfully requested to exercise his discretion and enter these amendments.

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now present in the application are clearly novel and patentable over the prior art of record, and are in proper form for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested. Should any unresolved issues remain, the Examiner is invited to call Applicants' attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment for any fees associated with this communication or credit any over payment to Deposit Account No. 16-1350.

Respectfully submitted,

.L  
Janik Marcovici  
Reg. No. 42,841

251 7/27/05  
Date

Perman & Green, LLP  
425 Post Road  
Fairfield, CT 06824  
(203) 259-1800  
Customer No.: 2512

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to (571) 273-8300 the date indicated below, addressed to the Mail Stop AF, Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: 27 July 2005 Signature: Ry Shimizu

Printed Name: Lisa Shimizu